# INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ, P.C.

8587556240

7150 E. CAMELBACK, SUI'I'E 325 SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA 85251

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER** 

Telephone: (480) 385-5060 Facsimile: (858) 755-6240

FEB 2 4 2006

TO:	FROM:
Maikhanh Nguyen, Examiner	Erin P. Madill (Reg. No. 46,893)
COMPANY: USPTO Art Unit 2176	PRIDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 2006
FAX NUMBER: 571 273 8300	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:
PHONE NUMBER:	SENDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER: 2102299-991130
RE:	RECTRESION NUMBER:
Agenda for Examiner Interview	10/056,300

NOTES/COMMENTS:

Feb-24-2006

# FORMAL COMMUNICATION INTENDED FOR ENTRY

THIS MESSAGE IS INTERDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR BRITTY TO WITCH IT IS ADDRESSED, AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAWS. IF THE BRADER OP THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OF COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN KRECH, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY THEFPHONE AND RETURN ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS VIA U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

# RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER** FEB 2 4 2006

#### UTILITY PATENT

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.

10/056,300

Confirmation No. 2017

Applicant

Srinivas Mandyam

Filed

January 22, 2002

TC/A.U.

2176

Examiner

Nguyen, Maikhanh

Docket No.

2102299-991130

Customer No.

29,906

## AGENDA FOR EXAMINER INTERVIEW

### Hi Examiner Nguyen:

During the interview, I would like to discuss the following points. Please give me a call at the number below to schedule the interview. My schedule is generally open from 2 PM to 8PM EST.

### Discuss Rejection of Claim 1

- > Which element in the Chau reference teaches a selection envelope?
- > Which element in the Chau reference teaches a selection command? How is that element associated with the element the Examiner alleges to be a "selection envelope"?

> Where does the Chau reference disclose that successive application of the UDF Update () function "narrows said content to be enclosed by a corresponding selection envelope corresponding to the selection command," as required by the method of claim 1?

# B. Discuss Rejection of Claim 10

> Where does the Chau reference teach or even hint at the concept of defining a child selection envelope if said first content is not said desired content?

Respectfully submitted,

**INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ** 

Dated: February 24, 2006

Reg. No. 46,893

(480) 385-5060